arXiv:math/0407522v1 [math.RT] 30 Jul 2004

A duality between q-multiplicities in tensor products and q-multiplicities of weights for the root systems B, C or D

Cédric Lecouvey
lecouvey@math.unicaen.fr

Abstract

Starting from Jacobi-Trudi's type determinental expressions for the Schur functions corresponding to types B, C and D, we define a natural q-analogue of the multiplicity $[V(\lambda) : M(\mu)]$ when $M(\mu)$ is a tensor product of row or column shaped modules defined by μ. We prove that these q-multiplicities are equal to certain Kostka-Foulkes polynomials related to the root systems C or D. Finally we derive formulas expressing the associated multiplicities in terms of Kostka numbers.

1 Introduction

Given two partitions λ and μ of length m, the Kostka number $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{A_m}$ is equal to the dimension of the weight space μ in the finite dimensional irreducible sl_m-module $V^{A_m}(\lambda)$ of highest weight λ. The Schur duality is a classical result in representation theory establishing that $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{A_m}$ is also equal to the multiplicity of $V(\lambda)$ in the tensor products

$$V(\mu_1\Lambda_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\mu_m\Lambda_1) \text{ and } V(\Lambda_{\mu_1'}) \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\Lambda_{\mu_n'})$$

where $\mu' = (\mu_1', ..., \mu_n')$ is the conjugate partition of μ and the Λ_i's $i = 1, ..., m-1$ are the fundamental weights of sl_m. Another way to define $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{A_m}$ is to use the Jacobi-Trudi identity which gives a determinantal expression of the Schur function $s_\mu = \mathrm{char}(V(\mu))$ in terms of the characters $h_k = \mathrm{char}(V(k\Lambda_1))$ of the k-th symmetric power representation. This formula can be rewritten

$$s_\mu = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (1 - R_{i,j}) h_\mu \tag{1}$$

where $h_\mu = h_{\mu_1} \cdots \cdot h_{\mu_m}$ and the $R_{i,j}$ are the raising operators (see 3.2). Then one can prove that it makes sense to write

$$h_\mu = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (1 - R_{i,j})^{-1} s_\mu \tag{2}$$

which gives the decomposition of h_μ on the basis of Schur functions. From this decomposition we derive the following expression for $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{A_m}$:

$$K_{\lambda,\mu}^{A_m} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} \mathcal{P}^{A_m}(\sigma(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + \rho)) \tag{3}$$

where $\mathcal{S}_m$ is the symmetric group of order m and $\mathcal{P}^{A_m}$ the ordinary Kostant's partition function defined from the equality:

$$\prod_{\alpha \text{ positive root}} \frac{1}{(1 - x^\alpha)} = \sum_\beta \mathcal{P}^{A_m}(\beta) x^\beta$$

with β running on the set of nonnegative integral combinations of positive roots of sl_m.
There exists a q-analogue $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{A_m}(q)$ of $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{A_m}$ obtained by replacing the ordinary Kostant's partition function $\mathcal{P}^{A_m}$ by its q-analogue $\mathcal{P}_q^{A_m}$ satisfying

$$\prod_{\alpha \text{ positive root}} \frac{1}{(1-qx^{\alpha})} = \sum_{\beta} \mathcal{P}_q^{A_m}(\beta)x^{\beta}.$$

So we have

$$K_{\lambda,\mu}^{A_m}(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} \mathcal{P}_q^{A_m}(\sigma(\lambda+\rho)-(\mu+\rho)) \tag{4}$$

which is a polynomial in q with nonnegative integer coefficients [8], [9]. In [11], Nakayashiki and Yamada have shown that $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{A_m}(q)$ can also be computed from the combinatorial R matrix corresponding to Kashiwara's crystals associated to some $U_q(\widehat{sl_m})$-modules.

For $g = so_{2m+1}, sp_{2m}$ or so_{2m} there also exist expressions similar to (3) for the multiplicities $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{g}$ of the weight μ in the finite dimensional irreducible module $V(\lambda)$ but a so simple duality as for sl_m does not exist although it is possible to obtain certain duality results between multiplicities of weights and tensor product multiplicities of representations by using duals pairs of algebraic groups (see [5]). This implies that the quantifications of weight multiplicities and tensor product multiplicities can not coincide for the root systems B_m, C_m and D_m. The Kostka-Foulkes polynomials $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{g}(q)$ are the q-analogues of $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{g}$ defined as in (4) by quantifying the partition function corresponding to the root system associated to g (see 2.2). In [13], Hatayama, Kuniba, Okado and Takagi have introduced for type C_m a quantification $X_{\lambda,\mu}^{C_m}(q)$ of the multiplicity of $V(\lambda)$ in the tensor product

$$W(\mu_1\Lambda_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes W(\mu_m\Lambda_1)$$

where for any $i = 1, ..., m$,

$$W(\mu_i\Lambda_1) = V(\mu_i\Lambda_1) \oplus V((\mu_i - 2)\Lambda_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus V((\mu_i \text{mod} 2)\Lambda_1).$$

This quantification is based on the determination of the combinatorial R matrix of some $U_q'(g)$-crystals in the spirit of [11]. Note that there also exit q-multiplicities for the sp_2-module $V(\lambda)$ in a tensor product

$$V(\Lambda_1)^{\otimes k} \otimes V(\Lambda_2)^{\otimes l}$$

where k, l are positive integers obtained by Yamada [17].

In this paper we first use Jacobi-Trudi's type determinantal expressions for the Schur functions associated to g to introduce q-analogues of the multiplicity of $V(\lambda)$ in the tensor products

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{(i)} : \mathfrak{h}(\mu) = V(\mu_1\Lambda_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\mu_m\Lambda_1), \mathfrak{H}(\mu) = W(\mu_1\Lambda_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes W(\mu_m\Lambda_1) \\ &\text{(ii)} : \mathfrak{e}(\mu) = V(\Lambda_{\mu_1'}) \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\Lambda_{\mu_n'}), \mathfrak{E}(\mu) = W(\Lambda_{\mu_1'}) \otimes \cdots \otimes W(\Lambda_{\mu_n'}) \text{ with } m \geq |\mu| \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} W(\mu_i\Lambda_1) = V(\mu_i\Lambda_1) \oplus V((\mu_i - 2)\Lambda_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus V((\mu_i \text{mod} 2)\Lambda_1) \\ W(\Lambda_k) = V(\Lambda_k) \oplus V(\Lambda_{k-2}) \oplus \cdots \oplus V(\Lambda_{k \bmod 2}) \end{array} \right. .$$

With the condition $m \geq |\mu|$ for (ii), these multiplicities are independent of the root system considered. When $q = 1$, we recover a remarkable property already used by Koike and Terada in [6]. Next we prove that these q-multiplicities are in fact equal to Kostka-Foulkes polynomials associated to the root systems of types C and D. It is possible to extend the definition (4) of the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials associated to the root system A_m by replacing μ by $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^m$ where γ is not a partition. In this case $K_{\lambda,\gamma}^{A_m}(q)$ may have nonnegative coefficients but $K_{\lambda,\gamma}^{A_m}(1)$ is equal to the dimension of the weight space γ in $V^{A_m}(\lambda)$. We obtained simple expressions of the q-multiplicities defined above in terms of the polynomials $K_{\lambda,\gamma}^{A_m}(q)$. By specializing at $q = 1$ we derive formulas to compute the related multiplicities from the Kostka numbers.

In section 1 we recall background on the root systems B_m, C_m and D_m and the corresponding Kostka-Foulkes polynomials. We review in section 2 the determinantal identities for Schur functions that we need in the sequel and we introduce the formalism suggested in [1] to prove the expressions of Schur functions in terms of raising and lowering operators implicitly contain in [15]. Thank to this formalism we are able to obtain expressions for multiplicities similar to (3). We quantify these multiplicities to obtain the desired q-analogues in section 3. We prove in Section 4 two duality theorems between our q-analogues and certain Kostka-Foulkes polynomials of types C and D. Finally we establish formulas expressing the associated multiplicities in terms of Kostka numbers.

Notation: In the sequel we frequently define similar objects for the root systems B_n C_n and D_n. When they are related to type B_n (resp. C_n, D_n), we implicitly attach to them the label B (resp. the labels C, D). To avoid cumbersome repetitions, we sometimes omit the labels B, C and D when our definitions or statements are identical for the three root systems.

Note: *While writing this work, I have been informed that Shimozono and Zabrocki [16] have introduced independently and by using creating operators essentially the same tensor power multiplicities. Thanks to this formalism they recover in particular Jacobi-Trudi's type determinantal expressions of the Schur functions associated to the root systems B, C and D which constitute the starting point of this article.*

2 Background on the root systems B_m, C_m and D_m

2.1 Convention for the positive roots

Consider an integer $m \geq 1$. The weight lattice for the root system C_m (resp. B_m and D_m) can be identified with $P_{C_m} = \mathbb{Z}^m$ (resp. $P_{B_m} = P_{D_m} \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}\right)^m$) equipped with the orthonormal basis ε_i, $i = 1, ..., m$. We take for the simple roots

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_m^{B_m} = \varepsilon_m \text{ and } \alpha_i^{B_m} = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1},\ i = 1, ..., m-1 \text{ for the root system } B_m \\ \alpha_m^{C_m} = 2\varepsilon_m \text{ and } \alpha_i^{C_m} = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1},\ i = 1, ..., m-1 \text{ for the root system } C_m \\ \alpha_m^{D_m} = \varepsilon_m + \varepsilon_{m-1} \text{ and } \alpha_i^{D_m} = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1},\ i = 1, ..., m-1 \text{ for the root system } D_m \end{array} \right. . \qquad (5)$$

Then the set of positive roots are

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} R_{B_m}^+ = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j \text{ with } 1 \leq i < j \leq m\} \cup \{\varepsilon_i \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq m\} \text{ for the root system } B_m \\ R_{C_m}^+ = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j \text{ with } 1 \leq i < j \leq m\} \cup \{2\varepsilon_i \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq m\} \text{ for the root system } C_m \\ R_{D_m}^+ = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j \text{ with } 1 \leq i < j \leq m\} \text{ for the root system } D_m \end{array} \right. .$$

Denote respectively by $P_{B_m}^+, P_{C_m}^+$ and $P_{D_m}^+$ the sets of dominant weights of so_{2m+1}, sp_{2m} and so_{2m}. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_m)$ be a partition with m parts. We will classically identify λ with the dominant weight $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \varepsilon_i$. Note that there exists dominant weights associated to the orthogonal root systems

whose coordinates on the basis ε_i, $i = 1, ..., m$ are not positive integers (hence which can not be related to a partition). For each root system of type B_m, C_m or D_m, the set of weights having nonnegative integer coordinates on the basis $\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_m$ can be identify with the set π_m^+ of partitions of length m. For any partition λ, the weights of the finite dimensional so_{2m+1}, sp_{2m} or so_{2m}-module of highest weight λ are all in $\pi_m = \mathbb{Z}^m$. For any $\alpha \in \pi_m$ we write $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots \alpha_m$.
The conjugate partition of the partition λ is denoted λ' as usual. Consider λ, μ two partitions of length m and set $n = \max(\lambda_1, \mu_1)$. Then by adding to λ' and μ' the required numbers of parts 0 we will consider them as partitions of length n.

The Weyl group $W_{B_m} = W_{C_m}$ of so_{2m+1} and sp_{2m} can be regarded as the sub-group of the permutation group of $\{\overline{m}, ..., \overline{2}, \overline{1}, 1, 2, ..., m\}$ generated by $s_i = (i, i+1)(\overline{i}, \overline{i+1})$, $i = 1, ..., m-1$ and $s_m = (m, \overline{m})$ where for $a \neq b$ (a, b) is the simple transposition which switches a and b. We denote by l_B the length function corresponding to the set of generators s_i, $i = 1, ...m$.
The Weyl group W_{D_m} of so_{2m} can be regarded as the sub group of the permutation group of $\{\overline{m}, ..., \overline{2}, \overline{1}, 1, 2, ..., m\}$ generated by $s_i = (i, i+1)(\overline{i}, \overline{i+1})$, $i = 1, ..., m-1$ and $s'_m = (m, \overline{m-1})(m-1, \overline{m})$. We denote by l_D the length function corresponding to the set of generators s'_m and s_i, $i = 1, ...m-1$.
Note that $W_{D_m} \subset W_{B_m}$ and any $w \in W_{B_m}$ verifies $w(\overline{i}) = \overline{w(i)}$ for $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$. The action of w on $\beta = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_m) \in P_m$ is given by

$$w \cdot (\beta_1, ..., \beta_m) = (\beta_1^w, ..., \beta_m^w)$$

where $\beta_i^w = \beta_{w(i)}$ if $\sigma(i) \in \{1, ..., m\}$ and $\beta_i^w = -\beta_{w(\overline{i})}$ otherwise.
The half sums ρ_{B_m}, ρ_{C_m} and ρ_{D_m} of the positive roots associated to each root system B_m, C_m and D_m verify:

$$\rho_{B_m} = (m - \frac{1}{2}, m - \frac{3}{2}, ..., \frac{1}{2}), \rho_{C_m} = (m, m-1, ..., 1) \text{ and } \rho_{B_m} = (m-1, m-2, ..., 0).$$

In the sequel we identify the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_m$ with the sub group of W_{B_m} or W_{D_m} generated by the s_i's, $i = 1, ..., m-1$.

2.2 Schur functions and Kostka-Foulkes polynomials

We now briefly review the notions of Schur functions and Kostka-Foulkes polynomials associated to the roots systems B_m, C_m and D_m and refer the reader to [12] for more details. For any weight $\beta = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_m) \in \pi_m$ we set $x^\beta = x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_m^{\beta_m}$ where $x_1, ..., x_m$ are fixed indeterminates. We set

$$a_\beta = \sum_{w \in W_{B_m}} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} (w \cdot x^\beta)$$

where $w \cdot x^\mu = x^{w(\mu)}$. The Schur function s_β is defined by

$$s_\beta^{B_m} = \frac{a_{\beta + \rho_{B_m}}}{a_{\rho_{B_m}}}.$$

When $\nu \in \pi_m^+$, $s_\nu^{B_m}$ is the Weyl character of $V(\nu)$ the finite dimensional irreducible module with highest weight ν. For any $w \in W_{B_m}$, the dot action of w on $\beta \in \pi_m$ is defined by

$$w \circ \beta = w \cdot (\beta + \rho_{B_m}) - \rho_{B_m}.$$

We have the following straightening law for the Schur functions. For any $\beta \in \pi_m$, $s_\beta^{B_m} = 0$ or there exists a unique $\nu \in \pi_m^+$ such that $s_\beta^{B_m} = (-1)^{l(w)} s_\nu^{B_m}$ with $w \in W_{B_m}$ and $\nu = w \circ \beta$. Set $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ and write $\mathbb{K}[\pi_m]$ for the $\mathbb{K}$-module generated by the x^β, $\beta \in \pi_m$. Set $\mathcal{C}_{B_m} = \mathbb{K}[\pi_m]^{W_{B_m}} = \{f \in \mathbb{K}[\pi_m],$ $w \cdot f = f$ for any $w \in W_{B_m}\}$. Then $\{s_\nu^{B_m}\}, \nu \in \pi_m^+$ is a basis of $\mathbb{K}[\pi_m]^{W_{B_m}}$.
We define $s_\beta^{C_m}$ and $s_\beta^{D_m}$ belonging to $\mathcal{C}_{C_m} = \mathcal{C}_{B_m}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{D_m}$ in the same way when $\beta \in \pi_m^+$ or $\beta \in \pi_m^+$ and we obtain similarly that $\{s_\nu^{C_m}\}, \nu \in \pi_m^+$ and $\{s_\nu^{D_m}\}, \nu \in \pi_m^+$ are respectively bases of $\mathcal{C}_{C_m}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{D_m}$.

The q-analogue $\mathcal{P}_q^{B_m}$ of the Kostant's partition function corresponding to the root system B_m is defined by the equality

$$\prod_{\alpha \in R_{B_m}^+} \frac{1}{1 - qx^\alpha} = \sum_{\beta \in \pi_m} \mathcal{P}_q^{B_m}(\beta) x^\beta.$$

Note that $\mathcal{P}_q^{B_m}(\beta) = 0$ if β is not a linear combination of positive roots of $R_{B_m}^+$ with nonnegative coefficients. We define similarly $\mathcal{P}_q^{C_m}$ and $\mathcal{P}_q^{D_m}$ the q-Kostant's partition functions respectively associated to the root systems C_m and D_m. Given λ and μ two partitions of length m, the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials of types B_m, C_m and D_m are then respectively defined by

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\lambda,\mu}^{B_m}(q) &= \sum_{\sigma \in W_{B_m}} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} \mathcal{P}_q^{B_m}(\sigma(\lambda + \rho_{B_m}) - (\mu + \rho_{B_m})), \\ K_{\lambda,\mu}^{C_m}(q) &= \sum_{\sigma \in W_{C_m}} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} \mathcal{P}_q^{C_m}(\sigma(\lambda + \rho_{C_m}) - (\mu + \rho_{C_m})), \\ K_{\lambda,\mu}^{D_m}(q) &= \sum_{\sigma \in W_{D_m}} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} \mathcal{P}_q^{D_m}(\sigma(\lambda + \rho_{D_m}) - (\mu + \rho_{D_m})). \end{aligned}$$

Remarks:
(i) : We have $K_{\lambda,\mu}(q) = 0$ when $|\lambda| < |\mu|$.
(ii) : When $|\lambda| = |\mu|$, $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{B_m}(q) = K_{\lambda,\mu}^{C_m}(q) = K_{\lambda,\mu}^{D_m}(q) = K_{\lambda,\mu}^{A_m}(q)$ that is, the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials associated to the root systems B_m, C_m and D_m are Kostka-Foulkes polynomials associated to the root system A_m.

3 Determinantal identities and multiplicities of representations

3.1 Determinantal identities for Schur functions

Consider $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. When k is a nonnegative integer, write $(k)_m = (k, 0, ..., 0)$ for the partition of length m with a unique non-zero part equal to k. Then set

$$h_k^{B_m} = s_{(k)_m}^{B_m}, h_k^{C_m} = s_{(k)_m}^{C_m}, h_k^{D_m} = s_{(k)_m}^{D_m}$$

and

$$H_k^{B_m} = h_k^{B_m} + h_{k-2}^{B_m} + \cdots + h_{k \bmod 2}^{B_m}, H_k^{C_m} = h_k^{C_m} + h_{k-2}^{C_m} + \cdots + h_{k \bmod 2}^{B_m},$$
$$H_k^{D_m} = h_k^{D_m} + h_{k-2}^{D_m} + \cdots + h_{k \bmod 2}^{D_m}.$$

When k is a negative integer we set $h_k^{B_m} = h_k^{C_m} = h_k^{D_m} = 0$ and $H_k^{B_m} = H_k^{C_m} = H_k^{D_m} = 0$. For any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ define

$$u_\alpha^{B_m} = \det \begin{pmatrix} h_{\alpha_1}^{B_m} & h_{\alpha_1+1}^{B_m} + h_{\alpha_1-1}^{B_m} & \cdots\cdots\cdots & h_{\alpha_1+m-1}^{B_m} + h_{\alpha_1-m+1}^{B_m} \\ h_{\alpha_2-1}^{B_m} & h_{\alpha_2}^{B_m} + h_{\alpha_2-2}^{B_m} & \cdots\cdots\cdots & h_{\alpha_2+m-2}^{B_m} + h_{\alpha_2-m}^{B_m} \\ . & . & \cdots\cdots\cdots & . \\ . & . & \cdots\cdots\cdots & . \\ h_{\alpha_m-m+1}^{B_m} & h_{\alpha_m-m+2}^{B_m} + h_{\alpha_m-m}^{B_m} & \cdots\cdots\cdots & h_{\alpha_m}^{B_m} + h_{\alpha_m-2m+2}^{B_m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By using the equalities $h_k^{B_m} = H_k^{B_m} - H_{k-2}^{B_m}$ and simple computations on determinants we have also

$$u_\alpha^{B_m} = \det \begin{pmatrix} H_{\alpha_1}^{B_m} - H_{\alpha_1-2}^{B_m} & H_{\alpha_1+1}^{B_m} - H_{\alpha_1-1}^{B_m} & \cdots\cdots\cdots & H_{\alpha_1+m-1}^{B_m} - H_{\alpha_1-m-1}^{B_m} \\ H_{\alpha_2-1}^{B_m} - H_{\alpha_2-3}^{B_m} & H_{\alpha_2}^{B_m} - H_{\alpha_2-4}^{B_m} & \cdots\cdots\cdots & H_{\alpha_2+m-2}^{B_m} - H_{\alpha_2-m-2}^{B_m} \\ . & . & \cdots\cdots\cdots & . \\ . & . & \cdots\cdots\cdots & . \\ H_{\alpha_m-m+1}^{B_m} - H_{\alpha_m-m-1}^{B_m} & H_{\alpha_m-m+2}^{B_m} - H_{\alpha_m-m-2}^{B_m} & \cdots\cdots\cdots & H_{\alpha_m}^{B_m} + H_{\alpha_m-2m-2}^{B_m} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

We define $u_\alpha^{C_m}$ and $u_\alpha^{D_m}$ similarly by replacing $h_k^{B_m}$ respectively by $h_k^{C_m}$ and $h_k^{D_m}$.

Consider p and m two integers such that $m \geq 1$. When p is nonnegative and $m \geq p$, write $(1^p)_m = (1, ..., 1, 0, ..., 0)$ for the partition of length m having p non zero parts equal to 1. We set

$$\begin{cases} e_p^{B_m} = s_{(1^p)_m}^{B_m}, e_p^{C_m} = s_{(1^p)_m}^{C_m}, e_p^{D_m} = s_{(1^p)_m}^{D_m} \text{ if } 0 \leq p \leq m \\ e_p^{B_m} = e_{2p-m}^{B_m}, e_p^{C_m} = e_{2p-m}^{C_m}, e_k^{D_m} = e_{2p-m}^{D_m} \text{ if } m+1 \leq p \leq 2m \\ e_p^{B_m} = e_p^{C_m} = e_p^{D_m} = 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

and

$$E_k^{B_m} = e_k^{B_m} + e_{k-2}^{B_m} + \cdots + e_{k \bmod 2}^{B_m}, H_k^{C_m} = e_k^{C_m} + e_{k-2}^{C_m} + \cdots + e_{k \bmod 2}^{B_m},$$
$$H_k^{D_m} = e_k^{D_m} + e_{k-2}^{D_m} + \cdots + e_{k \bmod 2}^{D_m}.$$

For any $\beta = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ we define

$$v_\beta^{B_m} = \det \begin{pmatrix} e_{\beta_1}^{B_m} & e_{\beta_1+1}^{B_m} + e_{\beta_1-1}^{B_m} & \cdots\cdots\cdots & e_{\beta_1+m-1}^{B_m} + e_{\beta_1-m+1}^{B_m} \\ e_{\beta_2-1}^{B_m} & e_{\beta_2}^{B_m} + e_{\beta_2-2}^{B_m} & \cdots\cdots\cdots & e_{\beta_2+m-2}^{B_m} + e_{\beta_2-m}^{B_m} \\ . & . & \cdots\cdots\cdots & . \\ . & . & \cdots\cdots\cdots & . \\ e_{\beta_m-m+1}^{B_m} & e_{\beta_m-m+2}^{B_m} + e_{\beta_m-m}^{B_m} & \cdots\cdots\cdots & e_{\beta_m}^{B_m} + e_{\beta_m-2m+2}^{B_m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By using the equalities $e_k^{B_m} = E_k^{B_m} - E_{k-2}^{B_m}$ and simple computations on determinants we have also

$$v_\beta^{B_m} = \det \begin{pmatrix} E_{\beta_1}^{B_m} - E_{\beta_1-2}^{B_m} & E_{\beta_1+1}^{B_m} - E_{\beta_1-1}^{B_m} & \cdots\cdots\cdots & E_{\beta_1+m-1}^{B_m} - E_{\beta_1-m-1}^{B_m} \\ E_{\beta_2-1}^{B_m} - E_{\beta_2-3}^{B_m} & E_{\beta_2}^{B_m} - E_{\beta_2-4}^{B_m} & \cdots\cdots\cdots & E_{\beta_2+m-2}^{B_m} - E_{\beta_2-m-2}^{B_m} \\ . & . & \cdots\cdots\cdots & . \\ . & . & \cdots\cdots\cdots & . \\ E_{\beta_m-m+1}^{B_m} - E_{\beta_m-m-1}^{B_m} & E_{\beta_m-m+2}^{B_m} - E_{\beta_m-m-2}^{B_m} & \cdots\cdots\cdots & E_{\beta_m}^{B_m} + E_{\beta_m-2m-2}^{B_m} \end{pmatrix}$$

The determinants $v_\beta^{C_m}, v_\beta^{D_m}$ are defined similarly.

Proposition 3.1.1 *(see[3]) Consider* λ *a partition of length* m *and suppose that* $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, ..., \lambda'_n)$ *is a partition of length* n. *Then* $u_\lambda = s_\lambda$ *and* $v_{\lambda'} = s_\lambda$.

Lemma 3.1.2 *(straigthening law for* u_α *and* v_β*)*
Consider $\alpha \in \pi_m^+$ *then*

$$u_\alpha = \begin{cases} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} u_\lambda \text{ if there exists } \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m \text{ and } \lambda \in \pi_m^+ \text{ such that } \sigma \circ \alpha = \lambda \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Consider $\beta \in \pi_n^+$ *then*

$$v_\beta = \begin{cases} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} v_\nu \text{ if there exists } \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n \text{ and } \nu \in \pi_n^+ \text{ such that } \sigma \circ \alpha = \nu \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Proof. By commutting the rows i and $i+1$ in the determinant (6) we see that $u_{s_i \circ \alpha} = -u_\alpha$. This implies that $u_{\sigma\circ\alpha} = (-1)^{l(\sigma)} u_\alpha$ for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m$. Then it follows from the definition of the dot action that $u_\alpha = 0$ or there exists $\gamma \in \pi_m$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m$ such that $\gamma_1 \geq \cdots \geq \gamma_m$ and $\gamma = \sigma \circ \alpha$. In this last case we have $u_\alpha = (-1)^{l(\sigma)} u_\gamma$. Now if there exists a negative γ_i, $u_\gamma = 0$ since all the H_k which appear in the lowest row of (6) are equal to 0. The proof is similar for v_β. ■

3.2 Determinantal identities in terms of raising and lowering operators

Let $\mathcal{L}_m = \mathbb{K}[[x_1, x_1^{-1}, ..., x_m, x_m^{-1}]]$ be the ring of formal series in the indeterminates $x_1, x_1^{-1}, ..., x_m, x_m^{-1}$. We consider the two following determinants

$$\delta_m(\alpha) = \det \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{\alpha_1} & x_1^{\alpha_1+1} + x_1^{\alpha_1-1} & \cdots\cdots\cdots & x_1^{\alpha_1+m-1} + x_1^{\alpha_1-m+1} \\ x_2^{\alpha_2} & x_2^{\alpha_2} + x_2^{\alpha_2-2} & \cdots\cdots\cdots & x_2^{\alpha_2+m-2} + x_2^{\alpha_2-m} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots\cdots\cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots\cdots\cdots & \cdot \\ x_m^{\alpha_m-m+1} & x_m^{\alpha_m-m+2} + x_m^{\alpha_m-m} & \cdots\cdots\cdots & x_m^{\alpha_m} + x_m^{\alpha_m-2m+2} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and}$$

$$\Delta_m(\alpha) = \det \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{\alpha_1} - x_1^{\alpha_1-2} & x_1^{\alpha_1+1} - x_1^{\alpha_1-1} & \cdots\cdots\cdots & x_1^{\alpha_1+m-1} - x_1^{\alpha_1-m-1} \\ x_2^{\alpha_2-1} - x_2^{\alpha_2-3} & x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_2^{\alpha_2-4} & \cdots\cdots\cdots & x_2^{\alpha_2+m-2} - x_2^{\alpha_2-m-2} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots\cdots\cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots\cdots\cdots & \cdot \\ x_m^{\alpha_m-m+1} + x_m^{\alpha_m-m-1} & x_m^{\alpha_{m-m+2}} - x_m^{\alpha_m-m} & \cdots\cdots\cdots & x_m^{\alpha_m} - x_m^{\alpha_m-2m-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

From a simple computation we derive the equalities:

$$\delta_m(\alpha) = \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq m} (1 - \frac{x_i}{x_j}) \prod_{1\leq r<s\leq m} (1 - \frac{1}{x_i x_j}) x^\alpha \text{ and } \Delta_m(\alpha) = \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq m} (1 - \frac{x_i}{x_j}) \prod_{1\leq r\leq s\leq m} (1 - \frac{1}{x_i x_j}) x^\alpha. \tag{7}$$

We set $h_\alpha = h_{\alpha_1} \cdots h_{\alpha_m}, H_\alpha = H_{\alpha_1} \cdots H_{\alpha_m}, e_\alpha = e_{\alpha_1} \cdots e_{\alpha_m}$ and $E_\alpha = E_{\alpha_1} \cdots E_{\alpha_m}$.
Remarks
(i) : For any partition μ of length m, h_μ is the character of $\mathfrak{h}(\mu) = V(\mu_1\Lambda_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\mu_m\Lambda_1)$ and H_μ is the character of $\mathfrak{H}(\mu) = W(\mu_1\Lambda_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes W(\mu_m\Lambda_1)$ where for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $W(k_1) = V(k\Lambda_1) \oplus V((k-2)\Lambda_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus V((k \bmod 2)\Lambda_1)$.
(ii) : For any partition μ of length m such that μ' is of length n, $e_{\mu'}$ is the character of $\mathfrak{e}(\mu) = V(\Lambda_{\mu'_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\Lambda_{\mu'_n})$ and $E_{\mu'}$ is the character of $\mathfrak{E}(\mu) = W(\Lambda_{\mu'_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes W(\Lambda_{\mu'_n})$ where for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq m$, $W(\Lambda_k) = V(\Lambda_k) \oplus V(\Lambda_{k-2}) \oplus \cdots \oplus V(\Lambda_{k \bmod 2})$.

For the root system B_m we introduce six linear maps $\mathrm{h}_{B_m}, \mathrm{H}_{B_m}, \mathrm{u}_{B_m}$ and $\mathrm{e}_{B_m}, \mathrm{E}_{B_m}, \mathrm{v}_{B_m}$ as follows:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{h}_{B_m}:\mathcal{L}_m\rightarrow\mathcal{C}_{B_m}\\ x^\alpha\longmapsto h_\alpha^{B_m}\end{array}\right.,\left\{\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{H}_{B_m}:\mathcal{L}_m\rightarrow\mathcal{C}_{B_m}\\ x^\alpha\longmapsto H_\alpha^{B_m}\end{array}\right.,\left\{\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{u}_{B_m}:\mathcal{L}_m\rightarrow\mathcal{C}_{B_m}\\ x^\alpha\longmapsto u_\alpha^{B_m}\end{array}\right. \text{ and}$$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{e}_{B_m}:\mathcal{L}_m\rightarrow\mathcal{C}_{B_m}\\ x^\alpha\longmapsto e_\alpha^{B_m}\end{array}\right.,\left\{\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{E}_{B_m}:\mathcal{L}_m\rightarrow\mathcal{C}_{B_m}\\ x^\alpha\longmapsto E_\alpha^{B_m}\end{array}\right.,\left\{\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{v}_{B_m}:\mathcal{L}_m\rightarrow\mathcal{C}_{B_m}\\ x^\alpha\longmapsto v_\alpha^{B_m}\end{array}\right..$$

Note that these maps are not ring homomorphisms. For the roots systems C_m and D_m we define respectively the maps $\mathrm{h}_{C_m}, \mathrm{H}_{C_m}, \mathrm{u}_{C_m}, \mathrm{e}_{C_m}, \mathrm{E}_{C_m}, \mathrm{v}_{C_m}$ and $\mathrm{h}_{D_m}, \mathrm{H}_{D_m}, \mathrm{u}_{D_m}, \mathrm{e}_{D_m}, \mathrm{E}_{D_m}, \mathrm{v}_{D_m}$ similarly. Let ω_m and Ω_m be the endomorphisms of $\mathcal{L}_m$ corresponding respectively to the multiplication by

$$\phi_m=\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq m}(1-\frac{x_i}{x_j})\prod_{1\leq r<s\leq m}(1-\frac{1}{x_ix_j}) \text{ and } \Phi_m=\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq m}(1-\frac{x_i}{x_j})\prod_{1\leq r\leq s\leq m}(1-\frac{1}{x_ix_j}).$$

Since ϕ_m^{-1} and Φ_m^{-1} belong to $\mathcal{L}_m$, ω_m and Ω_m are the automorphisms of $\mathcal{L}_m$ corresponding to the multiplication by ϕ_m^{-1} and Φ_m^{-1}.

Proposition 3.2.1 *We have*

1. $\mathrm{u}_m=\mathrm{h}_m\cdot\omega_m$ *and* $\mathrm{u}_m=\mathrm{H}_m\cdot\Omega_m$,
2. $\mathrm{v}_m=\mathrm{e}_m\cdot\omega_m$ *and* $\mathrm{v}_m=\mathrm{E}_m\cdot\Omega_m$.

Proof. 1 : We have seen that h_m is not a ring-homomorphism. Nevertheless we have by definition of the h_α

$$\mathrm{h}_m(x^\alpha)=\mathrm{h}_m(x_1^{\alpha_1})\cdots\mathrm{h}_m(x_m^{\alpha_m})=h_{\alpha_1}\cdots h_{\alpha_m}.$$

More generally if $P_1,...,P_m$ are polynomials respectively in the indeterminates $x_1,...,x_m$, we have

$$\mathrm{h}_m(P_1(x_1)\cdots P_m(x_m))=\mathrm{h}_m(P_1(x_1))\cdots\mathrm{h}_m(P_m(x_m))$$

by linearity of h_m. We can write

$$\delta_m(\alpha)=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_m}(-1)^{l(\sigma)}x_{\sigma(1)}^{\alpha_1-\sigma(1)+1}(x_{\sigma(2)}^{\alpha_2-\sigma(2)+2}+x_{\sigma(2)}^{\alpha_2-\sigma(2)})\cdots(x_{\sigma(m)}^{\alpha_m-\sigma(m)+m}+x_{\sigma(m)}^{\alpha_m-\sigma(m)-m+2})$$

and by the previous argument

$$\mathrm{h}_m(\delta_m(\alpha))=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_m}(-1)^{l(\sigma)}h_{\alpha_1-\sigma(1)+1}(h_{\alpha_2-\sigma(2)+2}+h_{\alpha_2-\sigma(2)})\cdots(h_{\alpha_m-\sigma(m)+m}+h_{\alpha_m-\sigma(m)-m+2})=u_\alpha$$

where the last equality follows from Proposition 3.1.1. By (7) we have $\delta_m(\alpha)=\omega_m(x^\alpha)$. Thus by applying h_m to this equality we obtain $\mathrm{h}_m(\omega_m(x^\alpha))=u_\alpha=\mathrm{u}_m(x^\alpha)$. Hence $\mathrm{u}_m=\mathrm{h}_m\cdot\omega_m$. We derive the equality $\mathrm{u}_m=\mathrm{H}_m\cdot\Omega_m$ in a similar way starting from

$$\Delta_m(\alpha)=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_m}(-1)^{l(\sigma)}(x_{\sigma(1)}^{\alpha_1-\sigma(1)+1}+x_{\sigma(1)}^{\alpha_2-\sigma(1)-1})\cdots(x_{\sigma(m)}^{\alpha_m-\sigma(m)+m}+x_{\sigma(m)}^{\alpha_m-\sigma(m)-m}).$$

2 : The arguments are the same than in 1 once replacing the characters h and H respectively by the characters e and E. ■

Consider $\alpha=(\alpha_1,...,\alpha_m)\in\pi_m$ and two integers i,j such that $1\leq i\leq j\leq m$. The raising operator $R_{i,j}$ and the lowering operator $L_{i,j}$ are respectively defined on π_m by $R_{i,j}(\alpha)=\alpha+\varepsilon_i-\varepsilon_j$ and $L_{i,j}(\alpha)=\alpha-\varepsilon_i-\varepsilon_j$. From the previous lemma we obtain:

Corollary 3.2.2 *For any partition* $\mu = (\mu_1, ..., \mu_m)$ *we have*

$$s_\mu = \left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le m} (1 - R_{i,j}) \prod_{1 \le r < s \le m} (1 - L_{r,s}) \right) h_\mu, \; s_\mu = \left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le m} (1 - R_{i,j}) \prod_{1 \le r \le s \le m} (1 - L_{r,s}) \right) H_\mu,$$

$$s_\mu = \left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (1 - R_{i,j}) \prod_{1 \le r < s \le n} (1 - L_{r,s}) \right) e_{\mu'}, \; s_\mu = \left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (1 - R_{i,j}) \prod_{1 \le r \le s \le n} (1 - L_{r,s}) \right) E_{\mu'}$$

where $\mu' = (\mu'_1, ..., \mu'_n)$ *is the conjugate partition of* μ.

Proof. Let us write

$$\phi_m = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le m} (1 - \frac{x_i}{x_j}) \prod_{1 \le r < s \le m} (1 - \frac{1}{x_i x_j}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \pi_m} a(\alpha) x^\alpha.$$

Then by 1 of Proposition 3.2.1, we have for any $\mu \in \pi_m^+$,

$$\mathrm{u}_m(x^\mu) = \mathrm{h}_m \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \pi_m} a(\alpha) x^{\alpha + \mu} \right) = \sum_{\alpha \in \pi_m} a(\alpha) h_{\alpha + \mu} = u_\lambda = s_\lambda$$

where the last equality follows from Proposition 3.1.1. This is exactly equivalent to

$$s_\mu = \left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le m} (1 - R_{i,j}) \prod_{1 \le r < s \le m} (1 - L_{r,s}) \right) h_\mu.$$

The arguments are essentially the same for the other equalities. ■

3.3 Expressions for the multiplicities of representations

Write

$$\phi_m^{-1} = \sum_{\alpha \in \pi_m} f(\alpha) x^\alpha \text{ and } \Phi_m^{-1} = \sum_{\alpha \in \pi_m} F(\alpha) x^\alpha.$$

From Lemma 3.2.1 we deduce that $\mathrm{h}_m = \mathrm{u}_m \circ \omega_m^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_m = \mathrm{u}_m \circ \Omega_m^{-1}$. By applying these identities to x^μ where μ is a partition of length m with μ' of length n we obtain as in Corollary 3.2.2

$$h_\mu = \left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le m} \frac{1}{1 - R_{i,j}} \prod_{1 \le r < s \le m} \frac{1}{1 - L_{r,s}} \right) s_\mu, \; H_\mu = \left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le m} \frac{1}{1 - R_{i,j}} \prod_{1 \le r \le s \le m} \frac{1}{1 - L_{r,s}} \right) s_\mu,$$

$$e_{\mu'} = \left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \frac{1}{1 - R_{i,j}} \prod_{1 \le r < s \le n} \frac{1}{1 - L_{r,s}} \right) s_\mu \text{ and } E_{\mu'} = \left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \frac{1}{1 - R_{i,j}} \prod_{1 \le r \le s \le n} \frac{1}{1 - L_{r,s}} \right) s_\mu.$$

These relations must be understood as a short way to write

$$h_\mu = \sum_{\alpha \in \pi_m} f(\alpha) u_{\mu + \alpha}, \; H_\mu = \sum_{\alpha \in \pi_m} F(\alpha) u_{\mu + \alpha},$$

$$e_{\mu'} = \sum_{\beta \in \pi_n} f(\alpha) v_{\mu' + \beta} \text{ and } E_{\mu'} = \sum_{\beta \in \pi_n} F(\alpha) v_{\mu' + \beta}.$$

For any positive integer l write $\rho_l = (l, l-1, ..., 1)$.

Proposition 3.3.1 *Consider a partition μ of length m such that μ' has length n. Then for the three roots systems B_m, C_m and D_m we have:*

$$\text{(i)}:\left\{\begin{array}{l} h_\mu = \sum_{\lambda\in\pi_m}\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_m}(-1)^{l(\sigma)}f(\sigma(\lambda+\rho_m)-\mu-\rho_m)u_\lambda \\ H_\mu = \sum_{\lambda\in\pi_m}\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_m}(-1)^{l(\sigma)}F(\sigma(\lambda+\rho_m)-\mu-\rho_m)u_\lambda \end{array}\right. ,$$

$$\text{(ii)}:\left\{\begin{array}{l} e_{\mu'} = \sum_{\nu\in\pi_n}\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_n}(-1)^{l(\sigma)}f(\sigma(\nu+\rho_n)-\mu'-\rho_n)v_\nu \\ E_{\mu'} = \sum_{\nu\in\pi_n}\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_n}(-1)^{l(\sigma)}F(\sigma(\nu+\rho_n)-\mu'-\rho_n)v_\nu \end{array}\right. .$$

Proof. (i) : Note first that the above relations do not depend on the root system considered. Indeed for any nonnegative integer m, we have $\rho_{B_n} = \rho_m - (\frac{1}{2}, ..., \frac{1}{2})$, $\rho_{C_m} = \rho_m$ and $\rho_{D_n} = \rho_m - (1, ..., 1)$. Thus $\sigma(\lambda+\rho_{B_m})-\mu-\rho_{B_m} = \sigma(\lambda+\rho_{C_m})-\mu-\rho_{C_m} = \sigma(\lambda+\rho_{D_m})-\mu-\rho_{D_m} = \sigma(\lambda+\rho_m)-\mu-\rho_m$. We have

$$h_\mu = \sum_{\alpha\in\pi_m} f(\alpha)u_{\mu+\alpha}.$$

From Lemma 3.1.2 we deduce that for any $\alpha\in\pi_m$ we have $u_{\mu+\alpha}=0$ or there exits a partition λ such that $\mu+\alpha=\sigma(\lambda+\rho_m)-\rho_m$ and $u_{\mu+\alpha}=(-1)^{l(\sigma)}u_\lambda$. By setting $\alpha=\sigma(\lambda+\rho_m)-\mu-\rho_m$ in the above sum we obtain $h_\mu=\sum_{\lambda\in\pi_m}\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_m}(-1)^{l(\sigma)}f(\sigma(\lambda+\rho_m)-\mu-\rho_m)u_\lambda$. The arguments are similar for the other assertions. ■

From relations (i) and by using the fact that $u_\lambda = s_\lambda$ for any partition λ of length m, we derive the equalities

$$h_\mu = \sum_{\lambda\in\pi_m} u_{\lambda,\mu}s_\lambda \text{ and } H_\mu = \sum_{\lambda\in\pi_m} U_{\lambda,\mu}s_\lambda$$

where

$$u_{\lambda,\mu} = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_m}(-1)^{l(\sigma)}f(\sigma(\lambda+\rho_m)-\mu-\rho_m) \text{ and } U_{\lambda,\mu} = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_m}(-1)^{l(\sigma)}F(\sigma(\lambda+\rho_m)-\mu-\rho_m) \qquad (8)$$

are respectively the multiplicities of $V(\lambda)$ in $\mathfrak{h}(\mu)$ and $\mathfrak{H}(\mu)$. Note that $u_{\lambda,\mu}=0$ and $U_{\lambda,\mu}=0$ unless $|\mu|\geq|\lambda|$.

For the relations (ii) the situation is more complicated since the partitions ν obtained by applying straightening laws to the $v_{\mu'+\beta}$ yields polynomials v_ν where $\nu\in\pi_n^+$ is a partition of length n so can not be necessarily regarded as the conjugate partition of a partition $\lambda\in\pi_m^+$. The straightening law of Lemma 3.1.2 implies that $|\nu|=|\mu'|$. Since $|\mu|=|\mu'|$, this problem disappear if we suppose $m\geq|\mu|$ since we will have $\nu_1\leq|\nu|\leq m$ and thus $\nu'\in\pi_m^+$. We can then set $\nu=\lambda'$ with $\lambda\in\pi_m$ and obtain

$$e_{\mu'} = \sum_{\lambda\in\pi_m} v_{\lambda,\mu}s_\lambda \text{ and } E_{\mu'} = \sum_{\lambda\in\pi_m} V_{\lambda,\mu}s_\lambda.$$

We deduce that

$$v_{\lambda,\mu} = u_{\lambda',\mu'} = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_n}(-1)^{l(\sigma)}f(\sigma(\lambda'+\rho_n)-\mu'-\rho_n) \qquad (9)$$

$$V_{\lambda,\mu} = U_{\lambda',\mu'} = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_n}(-1)^{l(\sigma)}F(\sigma(\lambda'+\rho_n)-\mu'-\rho_n) \qquad (10)$$

are respectively the multiplicities of $V(\lambda)$ in the tensor products $\mathfrak{e}(\mu)$ and $\mathfrak{E}(\mu)$.

4 Quantification of the multiplicities

4.1 The functions f_q and F_q

Set

$$\phi_m(q) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (1 - q\frac{x_i}{x_j}) \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq m} (1 - \frac{q}{x_i x_j}) \text{ and } \Phi_m(q) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (1 - q\frac{x_i}{x_j}) \prod_{1 \leq r \leq s \leq m} (1 - \frac{q}{x_i x_j}).$$

The functions f_q and F_q are obtained by considering the formal series expansions of $\phi_m^{-1}(q)$ and $\Phi_m^{-1}(q)$. Namely we have

$$\phi_m^{-1}(q) = \sum_{\alpha \in \pi_m} f_q(\alpha) x^\alpha \text{ and } \Phi_m^{-1}(q) = \sum_{\alpha \in \pi_m} F_q(\alpha) x^\alpha. \tag{11}$$

4.2 Some q-analogues of multiplicities of $V(\lambda)$ in $\mathfrak{h}(\mu)$, $\mathfrak{H}(\mu)$, $\mathfrak{e}(\mu)$ or $\mathfrak{E}(\mu)$

Given λ and μ two partitions of length m, let $c_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$ and $C_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$ be the two polynomials defined by

$$u_{\lambda,\mu}(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} f_q(\sigma(\lambda + \rho_m) - \mu - \rho_m) \text{ and } U_{\lambda,\mu}(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} F_q(\sigma(\lambda + \rho_m) - \mu - \rho_m).$$

Then from the equalities (8) and (9) we obtain:

Proposition 4.2.1 *Let λ and μ be two partitions of length m. Then*

1. *$u_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$ and $U_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$ are q-analogues of the multiplicity of the representation $V(\lambda)$ in $\mathfrak{h}(\mu)$ and $\mathfrak{H}(\mu)$,*

2. *$v_{\lambda,\mu}(q) = u_{\lambda,'\mu'}(q)$ and $V_{\lambda,\mu}(q) = U_{\lambda',\mu'}(q)$ are q-analogues of the multiplicity of the representation $V(\lambda)$ in $\mathfrak{e}(\mu)$ and $\mathfrak{E}(\mu)$ when the condition $m \geq |\mu|$ is satisfied.*

The following example is obtained from the explicit computation of the function f_q when $m = 2$.

Example 4.2.2 *Consider μ a partition of length 2 and set $\mathcal{E}_\mu = \{\lambda \in \pi_2^+, \lambda = (\mu_1 + r - s, \mu_2 - r - s)$, $s \in \{0, ..., \mu_2\}$, $r \in \{0, ..., \mu_2 - s\}\}$. Then for any partition λ of length 2 we have:*

$$u_{\lambda,\mu}(q) = \begin{cases} q^{\mu_1 - \lambda_1} & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathcal{E}_\mu \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Remarks
(i) : It follows from the definition of the q-functions f_q and F_q that $c_{\lambda,\mu}(q) = C_{\lambda,\mu}(q) = 0$ if $|\lambda| > |\mu|$.
(ii) : It is not trivial from the very definitions that $u_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$ and $U_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$ are polynomials in q with nonnegative integer coefficients. This property will be proved in Section 5 as a corollary of Theorem 5.1.5.

5 The duality theorems

5.1 A duality theorem for the q-multiplicities in $\mathfrak{h}(\mu)$ and $\mathfrak{H}(\mu)$

For any nonnegative integer m, set $\kappa_m = (1, ..., 1) \in \pi_m$.

Lemma 5.1.1 *Consider λ, μ two partitions of length m such that $|\lambda| \geq |\mu|$. Let k be any integer such that $k \geq \frac{|\lambda|-|\mu|}{2}$. Then we have*

$$K_{\lambda+k\kappa_m,\mu+k\kappa_m}(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} \mathcal{P}_q(\sigma(\lambda+\rho_m) - (\mu+\rho_m)) \tag{12}$$

where the sum is indexed by the elements of the symmetric group S_m.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{P}_q(\alpha) = 0$ if α is not a linear combination of positive roots with nonnegative coefficients, we have $\mathcal{P}_q(\alpha) = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \pi_m$ such that $|\alpha| < 0$. Consider $\delta = (\delta_1, ..., \delta_m) \in \pi_m$ and $w \in W_n$. Write $w(\delta) = (\delta_1^w, ..., \delta_m^w)$ and denote by $E_{w,\delta} = \{i_1, ..., i_p\}$ the set of the indices i_p such that δ_{i_k} and $\delta_{i_k}^w$ have opposite signs. Define the sum $S_{w,\delta} = \sum_{i_p \in E_{w,\delta}} \delta_{i_k}$. Then $|w(\delta)| = |\delta| - 2S_{w,\delta}$. Now consider k a nonnegative integer and set $\delta = (\lambda + \rho_m + k\kappa_m)$. We have $|w(\lambda + \rho_m + k\kappa_m)| = |(\lambda + \rho_m + k\kappa_m)| - 2S_{w,\delta}$. But $S_{w,\delta} = S_{w,\lambda+\rho_m} + kp$. Thus we obtain

$$|w(\lambda+\rho_m+k\kappa_m) - (\mu+\rho_m+k\kappa_m)| = |(\lambda+\rho_m+k\kappa_m)| - 2S_{w,\lambda+\rho_m} - |(\mu+\rho_m+k\kappa_m)| - 2kp = \\ |\lambda| - |\mu| - 2S_{w,\lambda+\rho_m} - 2kp.$$

When $w \notin \mathcal{S}_m$, we have $p \geq 1$ and $S_{w,\lambda+\rho_m} \geq 1$ since the coordinates of $\lambda + \rho_m$ are all positive. Hence $|w(\lambda+\rho_m+k\kappa_m) - (\mu+\rho_m+k\kappa_m)| < |\lambda| - |\mu| - 2k$ and is negative as soon as $k \geq \frac{|\lambda|-|\mu|}{2}$. For such an integer k the sum defining $K_{\lambda+k\kappa_m,\mu+k\kappa_m}(q)$ normally running on W_m can be restricted to (12) and we obtain

$$K_{\lambda+k\kappa_m,\mu+k\kappa_m}(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} \mathcal{P}_q(\sigma(\lambda+\rho_m+k\kappa_m) - (\mu+\rho_m+k\kappa_m)).$$

Since $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m$, we have $\sigma(k\kappa_m) = k\kappa_m$. Thus

$$K_{\lambda+k\kappa_m,\mu+k\kappa_m}(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} \mathcal{P}_q(\sigma(\lambda+\rho_m) - (\mu+\rho_m)).$$

■

We define the involution I on π_m by $I(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m) = (-\alpha_m, ..., -\alpha_1)$ for any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m) \in \pi_m$.

Lemma 5.1.2 *For any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m) \in \pi_m$ we have*

$$f_q(\alpha) = \mathcal{P}_q^{D_m}(I(\alpha)) \text{ and } F_q(\alpha) = \mathcal{P}_q^{C_m}(I(\alpha))$$

where $\mathcal{P}_q^{B_m}$ and $\mathcal{P}_q^{D_m}$ are the q-Kostant's partition functions associated respectively to the root systems B_m and D_m.

Proof. By abuse of notation we also denote by I the ring automorphism of $\mathcal{L}_m$ defined by $I(x^\alpha) = x^{I(\alpha)}$. The image of the root systems C_m and D_m by I are respectively

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, -\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \text{ with } 1 \leq i < j \leq m\} \cup \{-2\varepsilon_i \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq m\} \text{ for the root system } C_m \\ \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, -\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \text{ with } 1 \leq i < j \leq m\} \text{ for the root system } D_m \end{array} \right. . \quad (13)$$

By applying I to the equality

$$\prod_{\alpha \in R^+_{C_m}} \frac{1}{1 - qx^\alpha} = \sum_{\beta \in \pi_m} \mathcal{P}_q^{C_m}(\beta) x^\beta$$

we obtain

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \frac{1}{(1 - q\frac{x_i}{x_j})} \prod_{1 \leq r \leq s \leq m} \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{q}{x_r x_s})} = \sum_{\beta \in \pi_m} \mathcal{P}_q^{C_m}(\beta) x^{I(\beta)}.$$

Set $\alpha = I(\beta)$. The equality becomes

$$\Phi_m^{-1}(q) = \sum_{\alpha \in \pi_m} \mathcal{P}_q^{C_m}(I(\alpha)) x^\alpha$$

and from the definition (see 11) of the function F_q, we obtain $\mathcal{P}_q^{B_m}(I(\alpha)) = F_q(\alpha)$. The assertion with f_q is proved in the same way by considering the root system D_m. ■

Given $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m$, denote by σ^* the permutation defined by

$$\sigma^*(k) = \sigma(m - k + 1).$$

For any $i \in \{1, ..., m-1\}$, we have $s_i^* = s_{m-i}$ so that $l(\sigma) = l(\sigma^*)$. The following Lemma is straightforward:

Lemma 5.1.3 *The map $\sigma \to \sigma^*$ is an involution of the group $\mathcal{S}_m$ and we have $\sigma(I(\beta)) = I(\sigma^*(\beta))$ for any $\beta \in \pi_m, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m$.*

Lemma 5.1.4 *Let λ, μ two partitions of length m and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m$. Then*

$$(-1)^{l(\sigma)} f_q(\sigma(\lambda + \rho_m) - (\mu + \rho_m)) = (-1)^{l(\sigma^*)} \mathcal{P}_q^{D_m}(\sigma^*(I(\lambda) + \rho_m) - (I(\mu) + \rho_m)) \text{ and}$$
$$(-1)^{l(\sigma)} F_q(\sigma(\lambda + \rho_m) - (\mu + \rho)) = (-1)^{l(\sigma^*)} \mathcal{P}_q^{C_m}(\sigma^*(I(\lambda) + \rho_m) - (I(\mu) + \rho_m)).$$

Proof. Since $l(\sigma) = l(\sigma^*)$, it suffices to prove the equalities

$$f_q(\sigma(\lambda + \rho_m) - (\mu + \rho_m)) = \mathcal{P}_q^{D_m}(\sigma(I(\lambda) + \rho_m) - (I(\lambda) + \rho_m)) \text{ and}$$
$$F_q(\sigma(\lambda + \rho_m) - (\mu + \rho_m)) = \mathcal{P}_q^{C_m}(\sigma(I(\mu) + \rho_m) - (I(\mu) + \rho_m)).$$

Set $P = \mathcal{P}_q^{C_m}(\sigma^*(I(\lambda) + \rho_m) - (I(\mu) + \rho_m))$. From the above Lemma we deduce

$$P = \mathcal{P}_q^{C_m}(I(\sigma(\lambda) + \sigma^*(\rho_m) - I(\mu) - \rho_m).$$

Now an immediate computation shows that $\sigma^*(\rho_m) - \rho_m = I(\sigma(\rho_m) - \rho_m)$. Thus we derive

$$P = \mathcal{P}_q^{C_m}(I(\sigma(\lambda + \rho_m) - \mu - \rho_m)) = F_q(\sigma(\lambda + \rho_m) - \mu - \rho_m)$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 5.1.2.
We obtain the equality $f_q(\sigma(\lambda + \rho_m) - (\mu + \rho_m)) = \mathcal{P}_q^{D_m}(\sigma(I(\lambda) + \rho_m) - (I(\lambda) + \rho_m))$ in a similar way.
■

Theorem 5.1.5 *Consider* λ,μ *two partitions of length* m *and set* $n=\max(\lambda_1,\mu_1)$*. Let* k *be any integer such that* $k\geq\frac{|\mu|-|\lambda|}{2}$*. Then* $\widehat{\lambda}=(n-\lambda_m,...,n-\lambda_1)$ *and* $\widehat{\mu}=(n-\mu_m,...,n-\mu_1)$ *are partitions of length* m *and*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} u_{\lambda,\mu}(q)=K^{D_m}_{\widehat{\lambda}+k\kappa_m,\widehat{\mu}+k\kappa_m}(q)\\ U_{\lambda,\mu}(q)=K^{C_m}_{\widehat{\lambda}+k\kappa_m,\widehat{\mu}+k\kappa_m}(q)\end{array}\right.$$

Proof. First $\widehat{\lambda}$ and $\widehat{\mu}$ are clearly partitions of length m since $n=\max(\lambda_1,\mu_1)$. It follows from the definition of $U_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$ and the above lemma that

$$U_{\lambda,\mu}(q)=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_m}(-1)^{l(\sigma)}F_q(\sigma(\lambda+\rho_m)-\mu-\rho_m)=\sum_{\sigma^*\in\mathcal{S}_m}(-1)^{l(\sigma^*)}\mathcal{P}_q^{C_m}(\sigma^*(I(\lambda)+\rho_m))-(I(\mu)+\rho_m)).$$

Then by Lemma 5.1.3 we obtain

$$U_{\lambda,\mu}(q)=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_m}(-1)^{l(\sigma)}\mathcal{P}_q^{C_m}(\sigma(I(\lambda)+\rho_m))-(I(\mu)+\rho_m)).$$

We have $\sigma(\lambda^*+\rho_m+n\kappa_m)=\sigma(\lambda^*+\rho_m)+n\kappa_m$ since $\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_m$. So we can write

$$U_{\lambda,\mu}(q)=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_m}(-1)^{l(\sigma)}\mathcal{P}_q^{C_m}(\sigma(I(\lambda)+n\kappa_m+\rho_m))-(I(\mu)+n\kappa_m+\rho_m)).$$

Since $\widehat{\lambda}=I(\lambda)+n\kappa_m$ and $\widehat{\mu}=I(\mu)+n\kappa_m$ we derive

$$U_{\lambda,\mu}(q)=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_m}(-1)^{l(\sigma)}\mathcal{P}_q^{C_m}(\sigma(\widehat{\lambda}+\rho_m)-(\widehat{\mu}+\rho_m))=K^{C_m}_{\widehat{\lambda}+k\kappa_m,\widehat{\mu}+k\kappa_m}(q)$$

by Lemma 5.1.1.
We obtain similarly the equality $u_{\lambda,\mu}(q)=K^{D_m}_{\widehat{\lambda}+k\kappa_m,\widehat{\mu}+k\kappa_m}(q)$ by replacing $\mathcal{P}_q^{C_m}$ by $\mathcal{P}_q^{D_m}$. ■

Example 5.1.6 *Consider* $\mu=(4,2,1)$ *and* $\lambda=(2,1,0)$*. We have* $n=4$*,* $\widehat{\mu}=(3,2,0)$ *and* $\widehat{\lambda}=(4,3,2)$*. We choose* $k=2$*. Then we obtain the equalities*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} u_{\lambda,\mu}(q)=K^{D_m}_{(6,5,4),(5,4,2)}(q)=q^3+q^2\\ U_{\lambda,\mu}(q)=K^{C_m}_{(6,5,4),(5,4,2))}(q)=q^5+2q^4+3q^3+2q^2\end{array}\right. .$$

By using the fact that the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials have nonnegative integer coefficients [9] we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5.1.7 *The polynomials* $u_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$ *and* $U_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$ *have nonnegative integers coefficients.*

We also recover a property of the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials associated to the root system A_m proved in [8].

Corollary 5.1.8 *Consider* λ,μ *two partitions of length* m *such that* $|\lambda|=|\mu|$ *and set* $n=\max(\lambda_1,\mu_1)$*. Then the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials associated to the root system* A_m *verifies*

$$K^{A_m}_{\lambda,\mu}(q)=K^{A_m}_{\widehat{\lambda},\widehat{\mu}}(q)$$

where $\widehat{\lambda}=(n-\lambda_m,...,n-\lambda_1)$ *and* $\widehat{\mu}=(n-\mu_m,...,n-\mu_1)$*.*

Proof. Suppose that β is a linear combination of $I(R_{C_m}^{+})$ with nonnegative coefficients such that $|\beta| = 0$. Then β is necessarily a linear combination of the roots $\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq m$ with nonnegative coefficients (see (13)) that is, a linear combination with nonnegative coefficients of the positive roots associated to the root system A_m. This implies that

$$f_q(\beta) = F_q(\beta) = \mathcal{P}_q^{A_m}(\beta)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_q^{A_m}$ is the q-Kostant's partition function associated to the root system A_m. For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m$, we have $|\sigma(\lambda + \rho_m) - (\mu + \rho_m)| = 0$ since $|\lambda| = |\mu|$. Thus

$$f_q(\sigma(\lambda + \rho_m) - (\mu + \rho_m)) = F_q(\sigma(\lambda + \rho_m) - (\mu + \rho_m)) = \mathcal{P}_q^{A_m}(\sigma(\lambda + \rho_m) - (\mu + \rho_m))$$

and the multiplicities $u_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$ and $U_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$ coincide with the Kostka-Foulkes polynomial $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{A_m}(q)$ when $|\lambda| = |\mu|$. Moreover by applying Theorem 5.1.5 with $|\lambda| = |\mu|$ and $k = 0$, we obtain $U_{\lambda,\mu}(q) = K_{\widehat{\lambda},\widehat{\mu}}^{C_m}(q) = K_{\widehat{\lambda},\widehat{\mu}}^{A_m}(q)$ where the last equality is due to the fact that the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials of types B_m, C_m or D_m are Kostka-Foulkes polynomials associated to the root system A_m when $|\lambda| = |\mu|$. So we derive the equality $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{A_m}(q) = K_{\widehat{\lambda},\widehat{\mu}}^{A_m}(q)$. ■

We have seen that $U_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$ can be regarded as a q-analogue of the multiplicity of the representation $V(\lambda)$ in $\mathfrak{H}^{C_m}(\mu)$. In [13], Hatayama, Kuniba, Okado and Takagi have introduced another quantification $X_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$ of this multiplicity based on the determination of the combinatorial R matrix of the $U_q'(C_m^{(1)})$-crystals B_k. Considered as the crystal graph of the $U_q(C_m)$-module M_k, B_k can be identify with

$$B(k\Lambda_1) \oplus B((k-2)\Lambda_1^{C_m}) \oplus \cdots \oplus B(k \bmod 2\Lambda_1^{C_m})$$

where for any $i \in \{k, k-2, ..., k\text{mod}2\}$, $B(\ k\Lambda_1^{C_m})$ is the graph corresponding to the irreducible finite dimensional highest weight $U_q(C_m)$-module of highest weight $k\Lambda_1^{C_m}$. Note that the character of M_k is equal to $H_k^{C_m}$.

Recall that the combinatorial R-matrix associated to crystals B_k is equivalent to the description of the crystal graph isomorphisms

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} B_l \otimes B_k \overset{\simeq}{\rightarrow} B_k \otimes B_l \\ b_1 \otimes b_2 \longmapsto b_2' \otimes b_1' \end{array} \right.$$

together with the energy function H on $B_l \otimes B_k$. The multiplicity of $V(\lambda)$ in $\mathfrak{H}^{C_m}(\mu)$ is then equal to the number of highest weight vertices of weight λ in the crystal $B_\mu = B_{\mu_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes B_{\mu_m}$. Then $X_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$ is defined by

$$X_{\lambda,\mu}(q) = \sum_{b \in E_\lambda} q^{\sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq m} H(b_i \otimes b_j^{(i+1)})}$$

where E_λ is the set of highest weight vertices $b = b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_m$ in B_μ of highest weight λ, $b_j^{(i)}$ is determined by the crystal isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{c} B_{\mu_i} \otimes B_{\mu_{i+1}} \otimes B_{\mu_{i+2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes B_{\mu_j} \rightarrow B_{\mu_i} \otimes B_{\mu_j} \otimes B_{\mu_{i+1}} \cdots \otimes B_{\mu_{j-1}} \\ b_i \otimes b_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_j \rightarrow b_j^{(i)} \otimes b_i' \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{j-1}' \end{array}$$

and for any $j = 1, ..., m$, $H(b_0 \otimes b_j^{(1)})$ depends only on $b_j^{(1)}$.

Many computations suggest the following conjecture

Conjecture 5.1.9 *For any partition λ and μ of length m with $|\mu| \geq |\lambda|$*

$$U_{\lambda,\mu}(q) = q^{|\mu| - |\lambda|} X_{\lambda,\mu}(q).$$

Note that the conjecture is in particular true for all the examples given in the tables of [13].

5.2 A duality theorem for the q-multiplicities in $\mathfrak{e}(\mu)$ and $\mathfrak{E}(\mu)$

Consider λ,μ two partitions of length l such that $l\geq|\mu|\geq|\lambda|$. Write $m=\max(\lambda_1,\mu_1)$. Then by adding to λ' and μ' the required numbers of parts 0 we can consider them as partitions of length m. Set $n=\max(\lambda'_1,\mu'_1)$. We define the partitions $\widetilde{\lambda}$ and $\widetilde{\mu}$ belonging to π_m by $\widetilde{\lambda}=(n-\lambda'_m,...,n-\lambda'_1)$ and $\widetilde{\mu}=(n-\mu'_m,...,n-\mu'_1)$.

Theorem 5.2.1 *With the above notations, we have for any integer* $k\geq\frac{|\mu|-|\lambda|}{2}$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text{(i)}: v_{\lambda,\mu}(q)=K^{D_m}_{\widetilde{\lambda}+k\kappa_m,\widetilde{\mu}+k\kappa_m}(q)\\ \text{(ii)}: V_{\lambda,\mu}(q)=K^{C_m}_{\widetilde{\lambda}+k\kappa_m,\widetilde{\mu}+k\kappa_m}(q)\end{array}\right. .$$

Proof. Since $l\geq|\mu|$, we have by Proposition 4.2.1 the equality $v_{\lambda,\mu}(q)=u_{\lambda',\mu'}(q)$. Moreover we have $n\geq\max(\lambda'_1,\mu'_1)$ and $k\geq\frac{|\mu'|-|\lambda'|}{2}$ for $|\lambda'|=|\lambda|$ and $|\mu'|=|\mu|$. Hence by applying Theorem 5.1.5 we obtain $v_{\lambda,\mu}(q)=K^{D_m}_{\widehat{\lambda'}+k\kappa_m,\widehat{\mu'}+k\kappa_m}(q)$ where $\widehat{\lambda'}=(n-\lambda'_m,...,n-\lambda'_1)=\widetilde{\lambda}$ and $\widehat{\mu'}=(n-\mu'_m,...,n-\mu'_1)=\widetilde{\mu}$. So (i) is proved. We obtain (ii) similarly. ■

Example 5.2.2 *For* $\lambda=(2,1,0,0,0)$ *and* $\mu=(2,2,1,0,0)$ *we have* $l=5,m=2$. *Moreover* $\lambda'=(2,1)$, $\mu'=(3,2)$ *and* $n=3$. *So* $\widetilde{\lambda}=(2,1)$ *and* $\widetilde{\mu}=(1,0)$. *Hence for* $k=1$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text{(i)}: v_{\lambda,\mu}(q)=K^{D_m}_{(3,2),(2,1)}(q)=q\\ \text{(ii)}: V_{\lambda,\mu}(q)=K^{C_m}_{(3,2),(2,1)}(q)=q^2+q\end{array}\right.$$

Remark When λ,μ are considered as weight associated to the root system C_l, the above theorem is essentially the quantification of a duality result explicited by Foulle [2] from results of [5] for the dual pair $(Sp(2l),Sp(2m))$.

6 Multiplicities for types B_m,C_m,D_m and Kostka numbers

6.1 A relations between q-Kostant's partition functions

Consider a nonnegative integer k and define the finite sets

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathcal{C}^m_k=\{\beta\in\pi_m,\beta=\sum_{1\leq r\leq s\leq m}e_{r,s}(\varepsilon_r+\varepsilon_s)\text{ with }e_{r,s}\geq 0\text{ and }|\beta|=2k\}\\ \mathcal{D}^m_k=\{\beta\in\pi_m,\beta=\sum_{1\leq r<s\leq m}e_{r,s}(\varepsilon_r+\varepsilon_s)\text{ with }e_{r,s}\geq 0\text{ and }|\beta|=2k\}\end{array}\right. .$$

Note that each $\beta\in\mathcal{C}^m_k$ (resp. $\beta\in\mathcal{D}^m_k$) verifies $|\beta|=2\sum_{1\leq r\leq s\leq m}e_{r,s}$ (resp. $|\beta|=2\sum_{1\leq r<s\leq m}e_{r,s}$). This implies that

$$\prod_{1\leq r\leq s\leq m}\frac{1}{(1-qx_rx_s)}=\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{\beta\in\mathcal{C}^m_k}c^{C_m}_{\beta}q^kx^{\beta}\text{ and }\prod_{1\leq r<s\leq m}\frac{1}{(1-qx_rx_s)}=\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{\beta\in\mathcal{C}^m_k}c^{D_m}_{\beta}q^kx^{\beta}$$

where $c^{C_m}_{\beta}$ (resp. $c^{D_m}_{\beta}$) is the number of ways to decompose β as $\beta=\sum_{1\leq r\leq s\leq m}e_{r,s}(\varepsilon_r+\varepsilon_s)$ (resp. $\beta=\sum_{1\leq r<s\leq m}e_{r,s}(\varepsilon_r+\varepsilon_s)$) with $e_{r,s}\geq 0$.

Lemma 6.1.1 *For any $\beta \in \pi_m$ with $|\beta| = 2k \geq 0,$ we have*

$$\mathcal{P}_q^{C_m}(\beta) = \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{C}_k^m} c_\delta^{C_m} q^k \mathcal{P}_q^{A_m}(\beta - \delta) \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_q^{D_m}(\beta) = \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{D}_k^m} c_\delta^{D_m} q^k \mathcal{P}_q^{A_m}(\beta - \delta).$$

Proof. We have:

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \frac{1}{(1 - q\frac{x_i}{x_j})} \prod_{1 \leq r \leq s \leq m} \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{q}{x_r x_s})} = \sum_{\eta \in \pi_m} \sum_{\delta \in \pi_m} c_\delta^{C_m} q^{|\delta|/2} \mathcal{P}_q^{A_m}(\eta) x^{\delta + \eta}$$

which implies the equality $\mathcal{P}_q^{C_m}(\beta) = \sum_{\eta + \delta = \beta} c_\delta^{C_m} q^{|\delta|/2} \mathcal{P}_q^{A_m}(\eta)$. Since $\mathcal{P}_q^{A_m}(\eta) = 0$ when $|\eta| \neq 0$, we can suppose $|\eta| = 0$ and $|\delta| = |\beta|$ in the previous sum. Then $\delta \in \mathcal{C}_k^m$ and the result follows immediately. The proof for $\mathcal{P}_q^{D_m}(\beta)$ is similar. ■

6.2 Multiplicities in terms of Kostka numbers

Suppose that λ is a partition of length m and consider γ belonging to π_m. Then we can define the polynomial

$$K_{\lambda,\gamma}^{A_m}(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} \mathcal{P}_q^{A_m}(\sigma(\lambda + \rho_m) - (\gamma + \rho_m)).$$

Note that the coefficients of $K_{\lambda,\gamma}^{A_m}(q)$ may be negative when γ is not a partition. Nevertheless $K_{\lambda,\gamma}^{A_m} = K_{\lambda,\gamma}^{A_m}(1)$ is equal to the dimension of the weight space of weight γ in $V^{A_m}(\lambda)$.

Proposition 6.2.1 *Consider λ, μ two partitions of length m such that $k = |\mu| - |\lambda| \geq 0$. Define $\widehat{\lambda}$ and $\widehat{\mu}$ as in Theorem 5.1.5. Then*

$$u_{\lambda,\mu}(q) = \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{D}_k^m} c_\delta^{D_m} q^{\frac{|\mu|-|\lambda|}{2}} K_{\widehat{\lambda},\widehat{\mu}+\delta}^{A_m}(q) \text{ and } U_{\lambda,\mu}(q) = \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{C}_k^m} c_\delta^{C_m} q^{\frac{|\mu|-|\lambda|}{2}} K_{\widehat{\lambda},\widehat{\mu}+\delta}^{A_m}(q).$$

Proof. We have seen in the proof of Theorem 5.1.5 that

$$U_{\lambda,\mu} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} \mathcal{P}_q^{C_m}(\sigma(\widehat{\lambda} + \rho_m) - (\widehat{\mu} + \rho_m)).$$

Hence from the above lemma we derive

$$U_{\lambda,\mu} = \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{C}_k^m} c_\delta^{C_m} q^{|\delta|/2} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} \mathcal{P}_q^{A_m}(\sigma(\widehat{\lambda} + \rho_m) - (\widehat{\mu} + \delta + \rho_m))$$

which yields the desired result since $K_{\widehat{\lambda},\widehat{\mu}+\delta}^{A_m}(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} \mathcal{P}_q^{A_m}(\sigma(\widehat{\lambda} + \rho_m) - (\widehat{\mu} + \delta + \rho_m))$. ■
From the above proposition and Proposition 4.2.1 we deduce by setting $q = 1$:

Corollary 6.2.2 *With the notations of Theorems 5.1.5 and 5.2.1 the following assertions holds.*

- *Consider λ, μ two partitions of length m such that $k = \frac{|\mu|-|\lambda|}{2} \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for types B_m, C_m, D_m, the multiplicity of the representation $V(\lambda)$ respectively in $\mathfrak{h}(\mu)$ and $\mathfrak{H}(\mu)$ are respectively equal to $\sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{D}_k^m} c_\delta^{D_m} K_{\widehat{\lambda},\widehat{\mu}+\delta}^{A_m}$ and $\sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{D}_k^m} c_\delta^{C_m} K_{\widehat{\lambda},\widehat{\mu}+\delta}^{A_m}$.*

- *Consider* λ, μ *two partitions of length* l *such that* $k = \frac{|\mu|-|\lambda|}{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ *and set* $m = \max(\lambda_1, \mu_1)$. *Then for types* B_l, C_l, D_l, *the multiplicity of the representation* $V(\lambda)$ *respectively in* $\mathfrak{e}(\mu)$ *and* $\mathfrak{E}(\mu)$ *are respectively equal to* $\sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{D}_k^m} c_\delta^{D_m} K_{\widetilde{\lambda}, \widetilde{\mu}+\delta}^{A_m}$ *and* $\sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{D}_k^m} c_\delta^{C_m} K_{\widetilde{\lambda}, \widetilde{\mu}+\delta}^{A_m}$.

Acknowledgments: The author thanks the organizers of the workshop “Combinatorial aspects of integrable systems” for their hospitality during the summer 2004 when this work has been completed. He would like also express his gratitude to Professors Okado and Shimozono for many fruitful discussions.

References

[1] J. Desarmenien, A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc, J-Y. Thibon, *Hall Littelwood functions and Kostka-Foulkes polynomials in representation theory*, Semin. Lotha. Comb. , **32**, **B32c**, 38p. (1994).

[2] S. Foulle, *Formules de caractères pour des representations irréductibles des groupes classiques en égale caractéristique, Thèse de doctorat, Université Claude Bernard Lyon I (2004).*

[3] W. Fulton, J. Harris, *Representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag.

[4] G. Hatayama, A. Kuniba, M. Okado, T. Takagi, Y. Yamada, *Remarks on fermionic formula,* in N. Jing and K. C. Misra, eds. Recents Developments in Quantum Affine Algebras and Related Topics, Contemporary Mathematics **248**, AMS, Providence, 243-291, (1999).

[5] R. Howe, *Perspective in invariant theory: Schur duality, multiplicity free actions and beyond,* The Schur Lecture (Tel Aviv 1992), Israel Math. Conf. Proc. **8**, 1-182 (1995).

[6] K. Koike, I. Terada, *Young diagrammatic methods for the representations theory of the classical groups of type* B_n, C_n and D_n, Journal of Algebra, **107**, (1987), 466-511.

[7] A. Lascoux, M-P. Schützenberger, *Le monoïde plaxique*, in non commutative structures in algebra and geometric combinatorics A. de Luca Ed., Quaderni della Ricerca Scientifica del C.N.R., Roma, (1981).

[8] A. Lascoux, M-P. Schützenberger, *Sur une conjecture de H.O Foulkes*, CR Acad Sci Paris, **288**, 95-98 (1979).

[9] G. Lusztig, *Singularities, character formulas, and a q-analog of weight multiplicities*, Analyse et topologie sur les espaces singuliers (II-III), Asterisque **101-102**, 208-227 (1983).

[10] I-G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Second edition, Oxford Mathematical Monograph, Oxford University Press, New York, (1995).

[11] A. Nakayashiki, Y. Yamada, *Kostka-Foulkes polynomilas and energy function in sovable lattice models,* Selecta Mathematica New Series, Vol 3 N°4, 547-599, (1997).

[12] K. Nelsen, A. Ram, *Kostka-Foulkes polynomials and Macdonald spherical functions*, preprint (2003).

[13] G. Hatayama, A. Kuniba, M. Okado, T. Takagi, *Combinatorial R* matrices for a family of crystals: $C_n^{(1)}$ and $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$ cases, Physical Combinatorics edited by M Kashiwara and T Miwa, Birkhauser, 105-139 (2000).

[14] H. Hatayama, A. Kuniba, M. Okado, T. Takagi, *Combinatorial R* matrices for a family of crystals: $B_n^{(1)}, D_n^{(1)}, A_{2n}^{(2)}$ and $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$ cases, Journal of Algebra, **247**, 577-615 (2002).

[15] A. Ram, *Weyl group symmetric functions and the representations theory of lie algebras,* Proc. 4th Conf. on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics, 327-342 (1992).

[16] M. Shimozono, M. Zabrocki, *Deformed universal characters for classical and affine algebras,* Preprint (2004), ArXiv: CO/0404288.

[17] Y. Yamada, *On q-Clebsch Gordan rules and the spinon character formulas for affine $C_2^{(1)}$ algebra,* ArXiv 9702019.